Effect of maternal antenatal influenza vaccination on adverse neonatal outcomes in terms of premature birth, small-for-gestational age and low birth weight: A comparative study

Mokhtar Mahfouz Shatla¹, Mohammed Essam Khayat², Majd Masoud Ahmed², Aamer Ali Alzahrani², Aymen Abdulrzag Khadrawi², Abdulrahman Saleh Almisfer², Shamsuldin Jamaluddin Zawawi², Abdulaziz Fouad Miyajan²

> ¹Department of Family Medicine, University of Menoufia, Menoufia, Egypt. ²Faculty of Medicine, University of Umm Alqura, Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Correspondence to: Mokhtar Mahfouz Shatla, E-mail: mokhtarshatla@gmail.com

> > Received May 18, 2016. Accepted June 24, 2016

Abstract

Background: Maternal influenza infection can impose significant health risks to pregnant women and their neonates.

Objective: The objective of this study was to assess the effect of maternal antenatal influenza vaccination on adverse neonatal outcomes in terms of premature birth, small-for-gestational age (SGA), and low birth weight (LBW).

Material and Methods: The present cross-sectional retrospective study included women who gave birth to their live third trimester neonates at a secondary care hospital in Saudi Arabia after exposure to the 2013/2014 influenza season in any entire trimester of their pregnancy. Neonatal outcomes of women who received inactivated influenza vaccine in their first, second, or early third trimester have been compared to the neonates of women who did not receive the vaccine throughout pregnancy. Data were collected by chart review.

Results: In the present study, 1237 women were included, of these 347 (28.05%) received the trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy. Neonates born to vaccinated mothers were, on average, 97 g heavier (3279.7934 ± 337.6 compared with 3182.5107 ± 424.06, P = 0.001). Adverse neonatal outcomes were significantly lower in the vaccinated group; premature (9.1% compared with 17.6%, P = 0.001), SGA (8% compared with 14%, P = 0.003), and LBW (6.3% compared with 10.4%, P = 0.022). There was no significant increase in birth defects (2.2% compared to 2.6%, P = 0.711). Logistic regression analysis revealed that, compared to the neonates of mothers who received the vaccine, neonates of unvaccinated mothers are at almost two-fold increased risk of being premature (OR = 1.957; 95% CI, 1.310–2.923; P = 0.001), 40% risk for SGA (OR = 1.409; 95% CI, 0.872–2.275; P = 0.161), and 30% risk for LBW (OR = 1.306; 95% CI, 0.773–2.206; P = 0.319).

Conclusion: Maternal antenatal influenza vaccination is associated with reduced adverse neonatal outcomes in terms of premature birth, SGA, and LBW. Moreover, it is associated with having heavier babies, and not associated with increased birth defects.

KEY WORDS: Pregnancy, influenza vaccine, neonatal outcomes, premature, birth weight, birth defects, small for gestational age.

Access this article online			
Website: http://www.ijmsph.com	Quick Response Code:		
DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2016.18052016510			

Introduction

Epidemiological studies have shown that pregnant women are at increased risk to a variety of infections with increasing severity.^[1–3] Influenza is considered as one of the most transmissible respiratory illnesses that can inflict substantial health hazards. On the basis of data from seasonal influenza and from the influenza pandemics of 1918–1919, 1957–1958, and

International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health Online 2016. © 2016 Mokhtar Mahfouz Shatla. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially, provided the original work is properly cited and states its license.

2378 International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health | 2016 | Vol 5 | Issue 11

2009–2010, pregnant women infected with influenza are more likely to develop severe influenza-related illness compared to the general population. The physiologic changes that increase the severity of influenza in pregnancy include changes in the cardiovascular and respiratory systems, and immunologic alterations result in a shift away from cell-mediated immunity.^[4–11] The associated systemic reactions to influenza infection can cause disruptions in fetal homeostasis thus compromising the co-existing fetus and subsequently may have a negative impact on neonatal outcomes.^[12,13]

In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies, influenza or influenza-like illness in the first trimester was associated with an increased risk of congenital fetal abnormalities such as cleft lip, neural tube defects, hydrocephaly, and congenital heart defects.^[14] Hyperthermia is a common clinical manifestation of influenza and a risk factor for certain birth defects and other adverse neonatal outcomes.^[15] Also, there is indirect evidence that maternal influenza infection during pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of spontaneous abortion, preterm delivery, low birth weight (LBW), birth of a small-for-gestational age (SGA) infant,^[5,6,16–26] and fetal death.^[27,28]

Because of the increased severity of influenza in pregnancy, and its associated potential adverse effects on both the mother and fetus, The World Health Organization (WHO) has considered pregnant women at the top priority for influenza vaccination,^[29] and the US Centers for Disease Control's (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) has recommended that all women who are pregnant or will be pregnant during influenza season should receive inactivated influenza vaccine, either the trivalent or quadrivalent, regardless of trimester of pregnancy.^[30]

Influenza vaccination during pregnancy creates a defensive antibody response and reduces clinical illness in both mothers and infants.^[31] Pregnant women who received the influenza vaccine were 36% (95% CI 4–57) less likely to have respiratory illness with fever^[32] and 24% less likely to seasonal influenza.^[33] Many retrospective cohort studies and one prospective randomized controlled trial have investigated the effect of maternal influenza vaccination on neonatal outcomes, including a possible effect on decreased incidence of preterm birth, SGA, and LBW infants.^[22,26,34,35]

The present study was purposed to examine whether neonatal outcomes differed between women who received the influenza vaccine during pregnancy and those who did not, with emphasis on outcomes including birth of premature infants, SGA, and LBW.

Material and Methods

This cross-sectional retrospective study was conducted at a randomly selected large secondary care hospital in Makkah region, Saudi Arabia during the period of October 2014– April 2015. The study was approved by the research and ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine of Umm Alqura University, and by the hospital's administrative authority.

The study materials used were the medical records of pregnant women who gave birth to their live third trimester neonates during the period of 1 January-30 November 2014. Inclusion criteria were women who have been pregnant in their entire first and/or second and/or third trimester during the 2013/2014 influenza season (from 1 October 2013 to 31 May 2014). This means inclusion of women with the date of the last menstrual period anytime between 1 April 2013 and 1 March 2014. Also, women have been included only if they received their antenatal care at the study setting. Then, women, as identified from their medical records, have been divided into two groups; a vaccinated group included women who have received the trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIIV) during their first, or second, or early third trimester (weeks 29-32) of pregnancy, late third trimester vaccinations (week 33 to the end of pregnancy) were excluded, and unvaccinated group included women who have not received the TIIV at any trimester of pregnancy during the same influenza season.

Women vaccinations with regard to the influenza vaccine were identified by revision of the vaccination sheets in their medical records. Other relevant information about the women's health including socio-demographics, medical risk factors, and any pregnancy complications has been obtained from the medical records. Medical risk factors include: bronchial asthma; anemia (hemoglobin <10 g/dl or hematocrit <30%); hypertension including pregnancy-induced hypertension, chronic hypertension, and pre-eclampsia; gestational or pre-pregnancy diabetes mellitus; cardiac disease; hemoglobinopathy; incompetent cervix; previous large baby >4000 g, previous preterm delivery, previous SGA or low birth weight (LBW). Pregnancy complications include: hydramnios/oligohydramnios; amnionitis; premature rupture membranes; abruptio placentae, placenta previa; and antepartum hemorrhage.

The primary outcomes of the study were comparison between the two groups with regard to neonatal outcomes including premature birth, birth defects, birth weight, SGA, and LBW. These outcomes were documented in the neonatal sheet of every mother's medical record.

Premature birth, also known as preterm birth, is the birth to a baby at less than 37 weeks gestational age.^[36,37] Birth defects, also known as congenital malformations, were the structural abnormalities which are present from birth. Functional abnormalities were not included because it could not be identified at birth.^[38] SGA was defined as birth weight <10th percentile for gestational age and sex.^[39] Birth weight at term delivery between 2500 and 4200 g is normal, while birth weight of less than 2500 g regardless of gestational age is low birth weight (LBW).^[40,41]

Statistical analysis was carried out using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 20.0 (Somers, NY, USA). Data were presented using descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies and percentages for qualitative variables, and means ± standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables. Quantitative continuous variables were

compared using Student *t*-test in case of normal distribution. Qualitative categorical variables were compared using Chi-square test. Logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association of maternal non-immunization with inactivated influenza vaccine and the risk of having adverse neonatal outcomes; premature birth, SGA, and LBW; birth weight <2500 g. Odds ratios were calculated. To check for significant differences between the ratios, the 95% confidence level around each measure was calculated. Statistical significance is set at *P*-value <0.05.

Results

There were 1764 women who gave birth to their neonates during the intended period of study. Of these, 527 have been excluded because they did not receive their antenatal care at the study setting. There was no detection of women receiving the influenza vaccine late in the third trimester to be excluded. Hence, a total of 1237 women met the inclusion criteria, and their medical records have been evaluated during the study period. Of these, 347 women (28.05%) received the TIIV of the 2013/2014 influenza season during pregnancy. Women of both groups gave birth to a total 1300 live third trimester neonates. Vaccinated women gave birth to 363 (r27.9%), and un-vaccinated women gave birth to 937 (72.1%).

Table 1 shows that there were no significant statistical differences between the two groups (vaccinated and unvaccinated) with regard to mean age, nationality, body mass index (BMI), parity, pregnancy complications, maternal medical risk factors including hypertension, diabetes, cardiac disease, multiple pregnancy, and other medical risk factors (Table 1, P > 0.05%), except bronchial asthma. The likelihood of receiving influenza vaccine was higher for women at a higher level

Table 1: Maternal characteristics by receipt of inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy

Characteristics	Vaccinated [n = 347 (28%)	Unvaccinated [<i>n</i> = 890(72%)]	Chi-square or t-test	<i>P</i> -value
	No. (%)	No. (%)		
Age: mean±(SD); (<i>t</i> -test)	28.2161±(4.02)	27.9191±(4.61)	1.053 [*]	0.293
Nationality				
Saudi	192 (55.3%)	521 (58.5%)	1.052	0.305
Non-Saudi	155 (44.7%)	369 (41.5%)		
Education				
Primary	12 (3.5%)	137 (15.4%)	74.801	0.001
Secondary	119 (34.3%)	422 (47.4%)		
High	216 (62.2%)	331 (37.2%)		
BMI**				
Underweight	18 (5.2%)	36 (4.0%)	1.294	0.731
Average	86 (24.8%)	241 (27.1%)		
Overweight	213 (61.4%)	538 (60.4%)		
Obese	30 (8.6%)	75 (8.4%)		
Parity				
Primigravida	82 (23.6%)	213 (23.9%)	0.012	0.911
Multigravida	265 (76.4%)	677 (76.1%)		
Bronchial asthma	81 (23.3 %%)	45 (5.1%)	91.260	0.001
Hypertension	38 (11.0%)	99 (11.1%)	0.008ª	.931
Diabetes Mellitus	53 (15.3%)	142 (16.0%)	0.087	0.768
Cardiac disease	10 (2.9%)	29 (3.3%)	0.116	.733
Multiple pregnancy (during current gestation)	16 (4.6%)	47 (5.3%)	0.232	.630
Other medical risk factors***	42 (12.1%)	112 (12.6%)	0.053	0.818
Any pregnancy complications®	72 (20.7%)	199 (22.4%)	0.378	0.538

* Statistical t est used is the t-test.

"BMI: Body Mass Index.

***Other medical risk factors include anemia (hemoglobin <10 g/dl or hematocrit <30%); Rh sensitization; incompetent cervix; renal disease; hemoglobinopathy; previous baby >4000 g; previous preterm, SGA, or low birth weight delivery; and rubella.

[©]Includes fetal or placental problems affecting maternal management; polyhydramnios; oligohydramnios, premature rupture of membranes; antepartum hemorrhage; abruptio placentae, and placenta previa; and antepartum complications.

of education, and women with history of bronchial asthma (P < 0.001%).

Table 2 shows significant statistical differences between the two groups regarding neonatal outcomes including delivery of a premature infant, mean birth weight, small for gestational age, and giving birth to low birth weight infants <2500 g (P < 0.05%). There was no significant statistical difference between the two groups with regard to birth defects (P = 0.342). Newborns of vaccinated women were, on average, 97 g heavier than newborns of unvaccinated women (3279 versus 3182 g; P = 0.001).

Logistic regression analysis (Table 3) shows that newborns whose mothers did not receive antenatal influenza vaccine were almost at double-fold increased risk of being premature (OR = 1.957; 95% CI, 1.310–2.923; P = 0.001), about 40% risk of being SGA (OR = 1.409; 95% CI, 0.872–2.275; P = 0.161), and about 30% risk of being LBW (OR = 1.306; 95% CI, 0.773–2.206; P = 0.319) compared with neonates of vaccinated mother.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated a low rate of maternal vaccination with inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy (28.05%). Black et al in 2004, and France et al in 2006 reported a very low rate of antenatal influenza vaccination (7.5% and 20%, respectively), while Omer et al demonstrated a rate of 19.2%, and Richards et al demonstrated a rate of 41.5%.^[42,43,25,35]

Despite the recommendations from WHO, CDC, and American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG), the rate of vaccination with influenza vaccine during pregnancy remains low for many years.^[44–46] ACOG reported a rate below 50% for maternal vaccination with influenza.^[45] Studies have shown that concern about vaccine safety is the most commonly mentioned reason for refusing the vaccine.^[47–49] Fetal safety is of high importance for healthcare providers, public health officials, and the general public.^[50]

The current study addressed the safety of antenatal influenza vaccine in terms of birth defects where there were no increased birth defects among neonates of vaccinated mothers. This finding is supported by previous evidences of antenatal influenza vaccine safety.^[25,44,51-54]

Also, the current study found that neonates of vaccinated mothers weight, on average, 97 g heavier than neonates of un-vaccinated mothers. This finding is supported by results from earlier studies in the United States, Canada, and Bangladesh showing that maternal influenza infection is associated with decreased birth weight, and maternal influenza vaccination is associated with increased birth weight.^[23-25,35]

In this study, adverse neonatal outcomes; preterm birth, SGA, and LBW (<2500 g) were significantly lower in neonates of antenatal influenza vaccinated mothers. This finding of reduced adverse neonatal outcomes is in context with multiple observational and experimental studies which support this conclusion.^[5,6,16-26,28,34] It has been reported that maternal seasonal influenza vaccination was protective against SGA birth during periods of widespread influenza activity.^[25] In the prospective study in Bangladesh, receipt of TIV during pregnancy was associated with an increased birth weight of 200 g and decreased incidence of SGA by 34%. Retrospective studies have also shown a decreased risk of premature birth with both H1N1 vaccine and TIV administration during pregnancy.[26,28] Results from the South African study demonstrate efficacy in both pregnant women and their infants.[55,56] However, Richards et al^[35] although they demonstrated reduced risk of premature birth with antenatal influenza immunization;

Table 2: Comparison between neonatal outcomes of vaccinated and unvaccinated mothers with trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy

Outcome	Neonates ofvaccinated mother [<i>n</i> = 363 (28.9%)]	Neonates ofunvaccinated [<i>n</i> = 937(71.1%)]	Chi square or <i>t</i> -test	<i>P</i> -value
Premature delivery	33 (9.1%)	165(17.6%)	14.654	0.001
Birth defects	8 (2.2%)	24 (2.6%)	0.137	0.711
Small for gestational age	29 (8%)	131 (14%)	8.668	0.003
Mean birth weight (<i>t</i> -test)*	3279.7934 ± 337.6	3182.5107 ± 424.06	3.916*	0.001
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	23 (6.3%)	98 (10.4%)	5.245	0.022

*Statistical test used is the t-test.

Table 3: Odds Ratios for adverse neonatal outcomes of unvaccinated mothers with inactivated influenza vaccine

Outcome	Neonates of unvaccinated mothers	95% C.I.	<i>P</i> -value
Premature birth	1.957	1.310-2.923	0.001
Small for gestational age	1.409	0.872-2.275	0.161
Low birth weight (<2500 g)	1.306	0.773-2.206	0.319

they did not demonstrate such similar association with SGA and LBW.

The current study revealed that the odds of having a premature third trimester neonate is almost double fold for neonates of unvaccinated mothers compared to neonates of mothers who received the vaccine, a finding comparable to previous reports from multiple studies.[5,6,16-26,28,34,35] Neonatal prematurity was the most significantly encountered adverse outcome with non-vaccination. Only one study in the literature reported an increased risk of premature birth associated with antenatal influenza vaccination. Although, the risk rise was high (HR = 3.28; 95% CI, 1.28-8.63); however, the CI was very wide and the researchers noted that the average decrease in gestational length was only 3 days, which they hypothesized may not be of significant clinical consequence.^[57] Omer et al^[25] and Richards et al^[35] described a significantly reduced risk of premature birth in neonates of vaccinated mothers compared to non-vaccinated group by about 70%. A recent systematic review by Fell et al^[58] concluded that, several studies generally reported modestly decreased risks of premature birth among neonates of influenza vaccinated. They also concluded that these results may be biased by methodological shortcomings of observational studies of influenza vaccine effectiveness.

Prematurity is a major public health problem, with increased stress to the family, and increased cost to the health authority. $^{\rm [59]}$

The current study findings suggest that at least a portion of premature births, and other adverse neonatal outcomes including SGA and LBW, may be preventable through maternal antenatal influenza vaccination.

Recommendations

Health care providers involved in antenatal care including obstetricians and family physicians are encouraged to counsel pregnant women regarding vaccination with the inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy for the purpose of improvement of birth outcomes, with emphasis on safety of the influenza vaccine. Further studies are recommended to evaluate the effect of the trimester of vaccination on neonatal outcomes.

Limitations

This was an observational study without controlling, and subsequently liable for possible residual confounding variables. Although effectiveness of influenza vaccine is thought to be through prevention of influenza infection during pregnancy, however, this was not possible to be assessed in this study due to the behavior of fragmentation of health care in the setting of the study. Also, this study omitted to evaluate the effect of trimester of vaccination on neonatal outcomes; however, it conditioned inclusion of early third trimester vaccination to give a chance for the vaccine effect and protection. Also, the current study included only third trimester live births, and did not include second trimester births and stillbirths which might have an impact on the studied outcomes.

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that maternal vaccination with inactivated influenza vaccine during pregnancy is safe in terms of congenital birth defects, and is associated with significant reduction of adverse neonatal outcomes in terms of premature birth, small for gestational age, and low birth weight. The reduced risk for premature baby was the most prevailing finding of this study. Moreover, it was associated with increased birth weight.

References

- Jamieson DJ, Theiler RN, Rasmussen SA. Emerging infections and pregnancy. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:1638–43.
- Pazos M, Sperling RS, Moran TM, Kraus TA. The influence of pregnancy on systemic immunity. Immunol Res 2012;54:254–61.
- Sappenfield E, Jamieson DJ, Kourtis AP. Pregnancy and susceptibility to infectious diseases. Infect Dis Obstet Gynecol 2013;2013:752–852.
- 4. Freeman DW, Barno A. Deaths from Asian influenza associated with pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1959;78:1172.
- Hardy JM, Azarowicz EN, Mannini A, Medearis DN Jr., Cook RE. The effect of Asian influenza on the outcome of pregnancy, Baltimore, 1957-1958. Am J Public Health Nations Health 1961; 51:1182.
- Harris JW. Influenza occurring in pregnant women. JAMA 1919;72:978.
- Nuzum JW, Pilot I, Stangl FH, Bonar BE. 1918 pandemic influenza and pneumonia in a large civil hospital. IMJ III Med J 1976;150:612.
- Widelock D, Csazmas L, Klein S. Influenza, pregnancy, and fetal outcome. Public Health Rep 1963;78(1):1–11.
- Shahab SZ, Glezen WP. Influenza virus. In: Viral Diseases in Pregnancy, Gonik B (Ed.). New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1994. pp. 215–23.
- Goodnight WH, Soper DE. Pneumonia in pregnancy. Crit Care Med 2005;33(10 Suppl):S390–7.
- 11. Bhatia P, Bhatia K. Pregnancy and the lungs. Postgrad Med J. 2000;76(901):683–9.
- 12. Brent RL. Immunization of pregnant women: Reproductive, medical and societal risks. Vaccine 2003;21:3413–21.
- 13. Healy CM. Vaccines in pregnant women and research initiatives. Clin Obstet Gynecol 2012;55:474-86.
- Luteijn JM, Brown MJ, Dolk H. Influenza and congenital anomalies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod 2014; 29:809.
- Moretti ME, Bar-Oz B, Fried S, Koren G. Maternal hyperthermia and the risk for neural tube defects in offspring: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiology 2005;16:216.
- Mosby LG, Rasmussen SA, Jamieson DJ. 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1) in pregnancy: A systematic review of the literature. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;205:10.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Maternal and infant outcomes among severely ill pregnant and postpartum women with 2009 pandemic influenza A (H1N1)—United States, April 2009–August 2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:1193.
- Bloom-Feshbach K, Simonsen L, Viboud C, Molbak K, Miller MA. Natality decline and miscarriages associated with the 1918

influenza pandemic: the Scandinavian and United States experiences. J Infect Dis 2011;204:1157-1162.

- McNeil SA, Dodds LA, Fell DB, Allen VM, Halperin BA, Steinhoff MC et al. Effect of respiratory hospitalization during pregnancy on infant outcomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:S54.
- Mendez-Figueroa H, Raker C, Anderson BL. Neonatal characteristics and outcomes of pregnancies complicated by influenza infection during the 2009 pandemic. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:S58.
- Yates L, Pierce M, Stephens S, Mill AC, Spark P, Kurinczuk JJ, et al. Influenza A/H1N1v in pregnancy: an investigation of the characteristics and management of affected women and the relationship to pregnancy outcomes for mother and infant. Health Technol Assess 2010;14:109.
- Håberg SE, Trogstad L, Gunnes N, WilcoxAG, Gjessing HK, Samuelsen SO, et al. Risk of fetal death after pandemic influenza virus infection or vaccination. N Engl J Med 2013;368:333.
- Zaman K, Roy E, Arifeen SE, ; Rahman M, Raqib R, Wilson E, Omer SB, et al. Effectiveness of maternal influenza immunization in mothers and infants. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1555.
- Steinhoff MC, Omer SB, Roy E, Raqib R, Dodd C, Breiman RF, Zaman K. Neonatal outcomes after influenza immunization during pregnancy: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ 2012;184:645.
- Omer SB, Goodman D, Steinhoff MC, Rochat R, Klugman KP, Stoll BJ, Ramakrishnan U. Maternal influenza immunization and reduced likelihood of prematurity and small for gestational age births: a retrospective cohort study. PLoS Med 2011; 8:e1000441.
- Legge A, Dodds L, MacDonald NE, Scott J, McNeil S. Rates and determinants of seasonal influenza vaccination in pregnancy and association with neonatal outcomes. CMAJ 2014;186:E157.
- Sheffield JS, Greer LG, Rogers VL, Roberts SW, Lytle H, McIntire DD, et al. Effect of influenza vaccination in the first trimester of pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 2012 Sep;120 (3):532-7.
- Fell DB, Sprague AE, Liu N, Yasseen AS, Wen SW, Smith G, et al. H1N1 influenza vaccination during pregnancy and fetal and neonatal outcomes. Am J Public Health 2012;102:e33.
- World Health Organization. Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper—November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2012;87:461–76.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Evaluation of rapid influenza diagnostic tests for influenza A (H3N2) virus and updated case count—United States, 2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2012;61:619.
- Zaman K, Roy E, Arifeen SE, Rahman M, Raqib R, Wilson E, Omer SB, et al. Effectiveness of maternal influenza immunization in mothers and infants. N Engl J Med 2008; 359:1555–64.
- Thompson MG, Li DK, Shifflett P, Sokolow LZ, Ferber JR, Kurosky S, et al. Effectiveness of seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine for preventing influenza virus illness among pregnant women: a population-based case-control study during the 2010-2011 and 2011-2012 influenza seasons. Clin Infect Dis 2014;58:449.
- Jefferson T, Di Pietrantonj C, Rivetti A, Ferroni E, Rivetti A, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for preventing influenza in healthy adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014;3:CD001269.
- Kallen B, Olausson PO. Vaccination against H1N1 influenza with Pandemrix[®] during pregnancy and delivery outcome: A Swedish register study. BJOG 2012;119:1583–90.
- 35. Richards JL, Hansen C, Bredfeldt C, Bednarczyk RA, SteinhoffMC, Adjaye-Gbewonyo D, et al. Neonatal outcomes after antenatal influenza immunization during the 2009 H1N1 influenza pandemic: impact on preterm birth, birth weight, and small for gestational age birth. Clin Infect Dis 2013;56:1216–22.

- Preterm Labor and Birth: Condition Information. http://www. nichd.nih.gov. 03/11/2014. Retrieved 7 March 2015.
- Blencowe H, Cousens S, Oestergaard M, Chou D, Moller AB, Narwal R, et al. National, regional and worldwide estimates of preterm birth. Lancet 2012;379(9832):2162–72 [Estimates from 2010].
- Management of birth defects and haemoglobin disorders: Report of a joint WHO—March of Dimes meeting, Geneva, Switzerland, 17–19 May 2006. World Health Organization: Geneva, 2006.
- Carlo WA. The high-risk infant. In: *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*.
 20th ed. Kliegman RM, Stanton BF, St. Geme JW, Schor NF (Eds.). Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders, 2015 [chapter 97].
- 40. P07 Disorders related to short gestation and low birth weight in ICD-10.
- 41. World Health Organization, International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (ICD-10): Low Birth Weight; country, regional, and global estimates. 2004;Tenth revision, volume 3; alphabetical index, second edition.
- 42. Black SB, Shinefield HR, France EK, Fireman BH, Platt ST, Shay D, and the Vaccine Safety Datalink Work group. Effectiveness of influenza vaccine during pregnancy in preventing hospitalizations and outpatient visits for respiratory illness in pregnant women and their infants. Am J Perinatol 2004;21:233–9.
- 43. France EK, Smith-Ray R, McClaure D, Hambidge S, Xu S, Yamasaki K, et al. Impact of maternal influenza vaccination during pregnancy on the incidence of acute respiratory illness visits among infants. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2006;160:1277-83.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza vaccination coverage among pregnant women—United States, 2012–13 influenza season. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2013;62:787.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Committee on Obstetric Practice and Immunization Expert Work Group. Influenza vaccination during pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol 2014; 124:648.
- Nova Scotia's response to H1N1: summary report. Halifax (NS): Government of Nova Scotia; 2010. Available: www.gov.ns.ca / dhw/publications/H1N1-Summary-Report.pdf (accessed on February 12, 2016).
- Fisher BM, Scott J, Hart J, Winn VD, Gibbs RS, Lynch AM. Behaviors and perceptions regarding seasonal and H1N1 influenza vaccination during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2011;204:S107.
- Yudin MH, Salaripour M, Sgro MD. Pregnant women's knowledge of influenza and the use and safety of the influenza vaccine during pregnancy. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2009;31:120–5.
- Bednarczyk RA, Adjaye-Gbewonyo D, Omer SB. Safety of influenza immunization during pregnancy for the fetus and neonate. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012;207(Suppl):S38–46.
- Jacobson RM, Targonski PV, Poland GA. Why is evidencebased medicine so harsh on vaccines? An exploration of the method and its natural biases. Vaccine 2007;25:3165–9.
- Tammam PD, Ault KA, del Rio C, Steinhoff MC, Halsey NA, Omer SB. Safety of influenza vaccination during pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2009;201:547–52.
- Haberg SE, Trogstad L, Gunnes N, Wilcox AJ, Gjessing HK, Samuelsen SO, et al. Risk of fetal death after pandemic influenza virus infection or vaccination. N Engl J Med 2013;368:333–40.
- Siston AM, Rasmussen SA, Honein MA, Fry AM, Seib K, Callaghan WM, et al. Pandemic2009 influenza A(H1N1) virus illness among pregnant women in the United States. JAMA 2010;303:1517–25.

- Pasternak B, Svanstrom H, Molgaard-Nielsen D, Krause TG, Emborg HD, et al. Risk of adverse fetal outcomes following administration of a pandemic influenza A(H1N1) vaccine during pregnancy. JAMA 2012;308:165–74.
- 55. Madhi S, Cutland C, Hugo A, Jones S, Kuwanda L, Dighero B, et al. Efficacy and immunogenicity of inactivated influenza vaccine in pregnant women: a randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trial [Abstract 63003]. In: 16th International Congress on Infectious Diseases, Cape Town, South Africa, 4 April 2014. Available at: http://www.xcdsystem.com/icid2014/63.003.html. Accessed 12 March 2016. 35.
- 56. Madhi S, Cutland C, Jones S, Hugo A, Treurnicht FK, Kuwanda L, et al. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial on safety and efficacy of inactivated influenza vaccination of pregnant women in preventing illness in their infants [Abstract 1401]. In: 16th International Congress on Infectious Diseases, Cape Town, South Africa, 4 April 2014. Available at: http://www.xcdsystem. com/icid2014/14. 001. html. Accessed 12 March 2016.
- 57. Chambers CD, Johnson D, Xu R, Luo Y, Louik C, Mitchell AA, et al. Risks and safety of pandemic H1N1 influenza vaccine

in pregnancy: birth defects, spontaneous abortion, preterm delivery, and small for gestational age infants. Vaccine 2013;31: 5026–32.

- Fell DB, Platt RW, Lanes A, Wilson K, Kaufman JS, Basso O, et al. Fetal death and preterm birth associated with maternal influenza vaccination: Systematic review. BJOG 2015;122:17–26.
- 59. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet 2008;371:75–84.

How to cite this article: Shatla MM, Khayat ME, Ahmed MM, Alzahrani AA, Khadrawi AA, Almisfer AS. Effect of maternal antenatal influenza vaccination on adverse neonatal outcomes in terms of premature birth, small-for-gestational age and low birth weight: A comparative study Int J Med Sci Public Health 2016;5:2378-2384

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.